Introd	lucėd	by	Councilman	Grant	

	Proposed No. 80-1127
1	ordinance no. 5286
2	AN ORDINANCE concurring with the recommendation
3	of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner to approve subject to conditions, modified,
4	the Preliminary Plat of PAN TERRA HEIGHTS NO. 2 designated Building and Land
5	Development File No. 1280-5
6	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
7	This Ordinance does hereby adopt and incorporate herein the
8	findings and conclusions contained in the report of the Zoning
9	
10	and Subdivision Examiner dated <u>December 23</u> , 1980, which was
11	filed with the Clerk of the Council on <u>January 7</u>
12	1981, to approve subject to conditions, modified the
	Preliminary Plat of PAN-TERRA HEIGHTS NO. 2
13	designated by the Building and Land Development Division,
14	Department of Planning and Community Development, File No.
15	1280-5, and the Council does hereby adopt as its
16	action the recommendation (s) contained in said report.
17	INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 10th day of
18	November, 1980.
19	PASSED this 12th day of January, 1988.
20	KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
21	
22 23	Lauf Barden
23 24	Chairman
	ATTEST:
25	DEPUTY EVerk of the Council
26	
27	APPROVED this day of, 1980.
28	DEEMTO ENACTED WITHOUT COUNT: EXECUTIVE'S SIGNATURE

DATED: 1/4/8/1
King County Executive

2/17 tommin



Ron Dunlap County Executive

King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 344-4040

81 JAN 22 AII: 30

January 21, 1981

The Honorable Paul Barden Chairman, King County Council Room 402 B U I L D I N G

Dear Councilman Barden:

Based on the advice of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office, I am vetoing Ordinance No. 5287 which would grant a Shorelines Master Program redesignation on petition by Lionel St. Clair. According to the Prosecutor's opinion, K.C.C. Ch. 20.24 and applicable case law require that the Council make a finding that conditions have changed in a "substantial and material manner" since the original designation in order to grant a redesignation such as that contemplated by this ordinance. Since no such finding has been made in this matter, it is my determination that Ordinance No. 5287 is defective and should be reconsidered by the Council.

Sincerely,

Ron Dunlap

County Executive

RD:ck1